

Expressiveness in mimetic syntax: Quantitative evidence from Japanese and English

This paper quantitatively examines the correlation between the syntactic realization and morphological expressiveness of sound-symbolic, mimetic words in Japanese and English. Based on his field data in Siwu, Dingemanse (2011: Ch. 6) points out that mimetics (“ideophones” in his terms) show more expressive features, such as vowel lengthening and prosodic foregrounding (see Zwicky & Pullum 1987), in their free forms (i.e., adverbial, attributive) than in their bound forms (i.e., adjectival, predicative) (see Akita & Matsumoto (forthc) for a similar observation). I pursue the crosslinguistic applicability of this proposal based on quantitative comparisons of predicative and non-predicative uses of Japanese and English mimetics in WebCorp (see Hundt et al. 2007).

The investigation was limited to “Quotative” constructions (J: *-to*-marked adverbial form; E: *go*-quotation) and Verbal constructions (J: *su*-incorporated form; E: simple verb form) (see Tamori & Schourup 1999). 212 Japanese geminate-ending mimetics (e.g., *huwaQ* ‘fluffy’) were taken from Kakehi et al. (1996), listed with their two common expressive counterparts: partially reduplicated (e.g., *huwahuwaQ*) and triplicated forms (e.g., *huwahuwahuwaQ*) (see Nasu 2002). 178 English onomatopoeic words (e.g., *quack*) were taken from Kloe (1977), expressivized by repetition (e.g., *quack quack*) and vowel lengthening (e.g., *quaaack*). Some actual instances are cited in (1) and (2).

- (1) a. *NikonikoQ-to-si-te kawairasii egao-no ko* ‘a girl with a cute *beaming* smile’ (V, reduplication)
http://www.geocities.jp/barsmoke_osaka/1-08-e003.htm
 b. *nikonikonikoQ-to warau omago-san* ‘[his] grandchild who smiles *beamingly*’ (Q, triplication)
<http://gendai.net/articles/view/kenko/136962>
- (2) a. *wildflowers with a large stick that swoosh-swooshed through the air* (V, reduplication)
<http://www.kevinpkeating.blogspot.com/>
 b. *His robe went “swoooosh” and his hand pointed to...* (Q, vowel lengthening)
http://www.uesp.net/wiki/Daggerfall:A_Tale_of_Kieram

Table 1 shows the mean rates of verbal uses. Paired *t*-tests revealed that in both languages base forms are significantly more likely to appear as verbs than expressive forms (J: vs. reduplication: $t(150) = 1.98$, $p < .05$; vs. triplication: $t(151) = 1.98$, $p < .001$; E: vs. vowel lengthening: $t(25) = 2.06$, $p < .001$; vs. reduplication: $t(41) = 2.02$, $p < .001$). Moreover, particularly expressive forms (e.g., triplicated ones) were particularly frequently found in the non-predicative constructions.

Table 1. Mean verbal realization rates $(V/(V+Q) \times 100$; with the total numbers of instances)

	base (<i>huwaQ, quack</i>)	vowel lengthening (<i>quaaack</i>)	reduplication (<i>huwahuwaQ, quack quack</i>)	triplication (<i>huwahuwahuwaQ</i>)
J	11.98% (242,950,932)	n/a	9.36% (21,363,098)	2.74% (2,447,949)
E	99.37% (420,617,710)	59.83% (1,019)	43.63% (22,119)	n/a

The results reinforce the grammatical relevance of expressive morphology. They allow us to modify Akita’s (2009) iconicity-based generalization of mimetic syntax, in which mimetics with high lexical iconicity tend to be kept out of the clause core: for example, Japanese voice mimetics cannot be verbalized (e.g., **piyopiyo-su-* ‘tweet’). Assuming the extra-lexical nature of expressive morphology, the type of iconicity that is relevant to mimetic syntax should not just be lexical. We also have to consider discourse-pragmatic motivations for different syntactic realizations of mimetics. This conclusion is consistent with the usage basis stressed in the current studies of mimetics (Dingemanse 2011). (482 words)

References

- Akita, Kimi. 2009. *A Grammar of Sound-Symbolic Words in Japanese: Theoretical Approaches to Iconic and Lexical Properties of Mimetics*. Ph.D. dissertation, Kobe University.
- Akita, Kimi, and Yo Matsumoto. Forthcoming. Manner salience revisited: Evidence from two Japanese-English contrastive experiments. In *Human Cognitive Processing: Cognitive Foundations of Language Structure and Use*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Dingemanse, Mark. 2011. *The Meaning and Use of Ideophones in Siwu*. Ph.D. dissertation, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen/Radboud University.
- Hundt, Marianne, Nadja Nesselhauf, and Carolin Biewer. 2007. *Corpus Linguistics and the Web*. Amsterdam/New York: Rodopi.
- Takehi, Hisao, Ikuhiro Tamori, and Lawrence Schourup. 1996. *Dictionary of Iconic Expressions in Japanese*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Kloe, Donald R. 1977. *A Dictionary of Onomatopoeic Sounds, Tones and Noises in English and Spanish: Including Those of Animals, Nature, Machinery and Musical Instruments, Together with Some That Are Not Imitative or Echoic*. Detroit, MI: Blaine Ethridge-Books.
- Nasu, Akio. 2002. *Nihongo-onomatope-no gokeisei-to inritu-koozoo* [Word formation and prosodic structure of Japanese mimetics]. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Tsukuba.
- Tamori, Ikuhiro, and Lawrence Schourup. 1999. *Onomatope: Keitai-to imi* [Mimetics: Form and meaning]. Tokyo: Kurosio.
- Zwicky, Arnold M., and Geoffrey K. Pullum. 1987. Plain morphology and expressive morphology. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 330-339.